



May 12, 2000

Ms. Elizabeth Elam
Taylor, Olson, Adkins, Sralla & Elam, L.L.P.
Attorneys at Law
500 Throckmorton Street
Fort Worth, Texas 76102-3821

OR2000-1878

Dear Ms. Elam:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 136631.

The City of South Lake received a request for certain records of a named individual. You seek to withhold the information responsive to the request under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Section 552.101 protects “information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision,” including information coming within the common law right to privacy. *Industrial Found. of the South v. Texas Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668 (Tex. 1976), *cert. denied*, 430 U.S. 931 (1977). Common law privacy protects information if it is highly intimate or embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, *and* it is of no legitimate concern to the public. *Id.* at 683-85.

In our opinion, the information responsive to the request is protected from public disclosure by common law privacy. Accordingly, you must withhold the requested information under this aspect of section 552.101.¹

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

¹We note that section 552.023, “Special Right of Access to Confidential Information,” provides that information may not be withheld from an individual or his authorized representative based solely on laws intended to protect such individual’s privacy interests. In our opinion, it has not been shown that the requestor here is the authorized representative of the individual whose privacy interests are implicated by the information at issue. Therefore, the “special right of access” provided for in section 552.023 is not applicable here.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely



William Walker
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

WMW/ljp

Ref: ID# 136631

Encl. Submitted documents

cc: Ms. Linda Huffhines
1611 Heather Lane
Southlake, Texas 76092-4057
(w/o enclosures)